

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,989	02/08/2002	Randy Dinkins	028750-219	9928
Teresa Stanek I	7590 02/12/2007 Rea		EXAM	INER
	NE, SWECKER & MATH	KUBELIK	KUBELIK, ANNE R	
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA	22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		1638		
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/067,989	DINKINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

	Examiner	Artonit	İ				
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi ite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / incliantent	(1 TOL-524).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:			•				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attac	ned.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
			•				
	•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues:

Claims 35 and 39 now only drawn to a non-elected invention. See response filed 4/7/03.

Claims 38 and 40 do not properly depend the parent claims, as the parent claims are drawn to MinE, not MinD.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

103: Applicant urges that the claims have been amended to recite only MinE, which is not taught in any of the references. This is not found persuasive because claims 38 and 40 recite the MinD sequence of SEQ ID NO:1. Additionally, use of MinE is a non-elected invention.

ANNE KUBELIK, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER